H-0149.2		
$\Pi^-U \perp \pm \mathcal{I} \cdot \Delta$		

HOUSE BILL 1394

State of Washington 57th Legislature 2001 Regular Session

By Representatives Eickmeyer, Schoesler, Rockefeller, Sump, Jackley, Kessler, Cox and Dunshee

Read first time 01/25/2001. Referred to Committee on Transportation.

- AN ACT Relating to clarifying the use of county road funds in salmon recovery projects; amending RCW 36.79.140 and 36.82.070; and creating a new section.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. The legislature recognizes that projects 6 that remove impediments to fish passage can greatly increase access to 7 spawning and rearing habitat for depressed, threatened, and endangered 8 fish stocks. Although counties are authorized to use county road funds 9 to replace culverts and other barriers to fish passage, and may conduct 10 streambed and stream bank restoration and stabilization work in conjunction with removal of these fish barriers, counties are reluctant 11 12 to spend county road funds beyond the county right-of-way because it is 13 unclear whether the use of road funds for this purpose is authorized. 14 The purpose of this act is to clarify that streambed and stream bank 15 restoration and stabilization activities conducted in conjunction with 16 removal of barriers to fish passage constitute a county road purpose 17 even if this work extends beyond the county right-of-way. legislature intends this act to be permissive legislation. Nothing in 18

p. 1 HB 1394

- 1 this act is intended to create or impose a legal duty upon counties for
- 2 salmon recovery work beyond the county right-of-way.

3 **Sec. 2.** RCW 36.79.140 and 1997 c 81 s 6 are each amended to read 4 as follows:

At the time the board reviews the six-year program of each county 5 each even-numbered year, it shall consider and shall approve for 6 7 inclusion in its recommended budget, as required by RCW 36.79.130, the portion of the rural arterial construction program scheduled to be 8 9 performed during the biennial period beginning the following July 1st. 10 Subject to the appropriations actually approved by the legislature, the board shall as soon as feasible approve rural arterial trust account 11 12 funds to be spent during the ensuing biennium for preliminary proposals in priority sequence as established pursuant to RCW 36.79.090. Only 13 14 those counties that during the preceding twelve months have spent all 15 revenues collected for road purposes only for such purposes, including 16 removal of barriers to fish passage and accompanying streambed and stream bank repair as specified in RCW 36.82.070, and including traffic 17 18 law enforcement, as are allowed to the state by Article II, section 40 19 of the state Constitution are eligible to receive funds from the rural arterial trust account: PROVIDED HOWEVER, That counties with a 20 population of less than eight thousand are exempt from this eligibility 21 restriction: AND PROVIDED FURTHER, That counties expending revenues 22 23 collected for road purposes only on other governmental services after 24 authorization from the voters of that county under RCW 84.55.050 are 25 also exempt from this eligibility restriction. The board shall authorize rural arterial trust account funds for the construction 26 project portion of a project previously authorized for a preliminary 27 proposal in the sequence in which the preliminary proposal has been 28 29 completed and the construction project is to be placed under contract. At such time the board may reserve rural arterial trust account funds 30 31 for expenditure in future years as may be necessary for completion of 32 preliminary proposals and construction projects to be commenced in the ensuing biennium. 33

The board may, within the constraints of available rural arterial trust funds, consider additional projects for authorization upon a clear and conclusive showing by the submitting county that the proposed project is of an emergent nature and that its need was unable to be anticipated at the time the six-year program of the county was

HB 1394 p. 2

34

3536

37

38

- 1 developed. The proposed projects shall be evaluated on the basis of 2 the priority rating factors specified in RCW 36.79.080.
- 3 **Sec. 3.** RCW 36.82.070 and 1997 c 189 s 1 are each amended to read 4 as follows:

Any money paid to any county road fund may be used for the 5 construction, alteration, repair, improvement, or maintenance of county 6 7 roads and bridges thereon and for wharves necessary for ferriage of 8 motor vehicle traffic, and for ferries, and for the acquiring, operating, and maintaining of machinery, equipment, quarries, or pits 9 for the extraction of materials, and for the cost of establishing 10 county roads, acquiring rights_of_way therefor, and expenses for the 11 operation of the county engineering office, and for any of the 12 13 following programs when directly related to county road purposes: 14 Insurance; (2) self-insurance programs; and (3) risk management programs; and for any other proper county road purpose. 15 expenditure may be made either independently or in conjunction with the 16 state or any city, town, or tax district within the county. 17 18 road purposes also include the removal of barriers to fish passage related to county roads, and include but are not limited to the 19 following activities associated with the removal of these barriers: 20 Engineering and technical services; stream bank stabilization; 21 streambed restoration; the placement of weirs, rock, or woody debris; 22 23 planting; and channel modification. County road funds may be used beyond the county right-of-way for activities associated with removal 24 25 of fish barriers if the culvert or other fish barrier caused the denigration of the stream bank or streambed beyond the county right-of-26 27 way. The use of county road funds beyond the county right-of-way for activities associated with the removal of fish barriers is permissive, 28 29 and wholly within the discretion of the county legislative authority. The use of county road funds beyond the county right-of-way for such 30 activities does not create or impose a legal duty upon a county for 31 salmon recovery work beyond the county right-of-way. 32

--- END ---

p. 3 HB 1394